Exhibit 6H

May 28, 2014 Hearing Transcript

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN RE: CITY OF DETROIT, . Docket No. 13-53846

MICHIGAN,

Detroit, Michigan

HEARING RE. (#4508) ORDER REGARDING HEARING ON OUTSTANDING OBJECTIONS TO WRITTEN DISCOVERY (RE: RELATED DOCUMENT(S) 4202 ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES, DEADLINES AND HEARING DATES RELATING TO THE DEBTOR'S PLAN OF ADJUSTMENT); (#3929) MOTION OF THE CITY OF DETROIT WATER & SEWERAGE DEPARTMENT FOR AN ORDER AMENDING AND CLARIFYING FEE REVIEW ORDER FILED BY INTERESTED PARTY CITY OF DETROIT WATER AND SEWERAGE DEPARTMENT; (#5011) LETTER FILED BY STEPHEN D. LERNER, COUNSEL TO THE COURT-APPOINTED EXPERT; (#4202) STATUS CONFERENCE REGARDING CONFIRMATION PROCESS BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEVEN W. RHODES UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: Jones Day

By: BRUCE BENNETT

555 South Flower Street, Fiftieth Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90071

(213) 243-2382

Jones Day

By: HEATHER LENNOX 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017

(212) 326-3837

Jones Day

By: GEOFFREY IRWIN
GREGORY SHUMAKER
GEOFFREY STEWART

51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001-2113

(202) 879-3768

impacted, and the extent to which they could sustain the changes to them because of the fact that they're cutting deals. I don't know. But it puts us in an impossible position when they use their prior decisions to say, "Well, we really shouldn't have to do anything more because we got to keep the trial date, and we don't want to move the schedule."

THE COURT: Well, it's a close question, but I must side with Mr. Hackney here that the additional production through the second plan should have been provided here, and the Court will request that and order that.

MR. HACKNEY: Your Honor, points three and four I'll be very brief. I think point four was that we'd actually ask there to be an order that they get us our interrogatories by Friday so that we can have a date certain. It sounds like Mr. Irwin is going to do that anyway. Point three is an important one for --

THE COURT: I'll agree with that. You may submit an order.

MR. HACKNEY: Your Honor, point three is an important one given our colloquy earlier. I'd like you to consider it. The Hale affidavit says that they are construing the mediation privilege broadly. You can also imagine nuanced questions about who is covered by the mediation privilege, the most obvious of which being that the

charitable foundations weren't actually creditors in the case at the time they were invoked, so there are questions about the scope of the privilege, questions about the application of it by the city. It is my view that the city should log documents that they're withholding on the basis of this mediation privilege for two reasons. Number one, it may be something -- this is definitely a log where you can see looking at it and saying, wait a second, that's from a time period before the mediation order, wait a second, that's not a person involved the mediation, point one, but point two, I think that you're going to want to have this log whether it's for in camera review or for issues that come up down the road at trial. And so my suggestion is one that I'm sure the city is gritting its teeth over, but this is one of those things that I think if they start doing now we're all going to be very glad that it exists when the trial comes along because if we hit one of these issues and it's not all prepared, that could be a real delay.

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. IRWIN: Your Honor, the issue of privilege logs I think was one that we discussed with multiple parties at the outset of discovery. I don't understand what purpose is served to see if the city executed on its understanding of the mediation order. It is yet another potentially --

THE COURT: Yeah. I agree that the burden involved in this is much greater than any potential value of it, so I

will not order this.

MR. HACKNEY: Your Honor, if I could briefly speak to the schedule and then sit down, I think that our argument is pretty simple, which is we have proposed in the fifth amended scheduling order -- the proposed fifth amended scheduling order something that I think is unremarkable and also fair and sort of charitable to the situation that we, as creditors, find ourselves in, which is we've proposed to move fact discovery cutoff and all the associated dates back three weeks from June 27 to July 18. Okay. That is 22 days, I should say, to be precise.

Now, I woke up at four in the morning again today.

I always know that you want to know what time I wake up. But
I think part of the reason that I was -- I don't understand
why it's so controversial where the city is four weeks, five
weeks behind in its written discovery, you know, obligations.
The order said comply with written discovery by May 6. Here
we are almost into early June. I don't have interrogatory
responses. We're talking about important people that have
not been searched. We're talking about date limitations that
don't make sense. For me to come back and for the DTEC and
the other members of the creditors to come back and say,
"We're going to take the city's efforts to remedy this in
good faith. We see what Mr. Irwin is trying to do here.
We'll only move the schedule three weeks," to me seems like

the height of reasonableness, point one. Point two, your Honor, it still allows for a trial schedule that I think gets the trial done before September 30. So to the extent that Mayor Duggan is going to fire Kevyn Orr and fire Jones Day as soon as he possibly can, which I think was reported in the press and in some respects I think raised sort of larger and more troubling questions about why that would be the case -
THE COURT: Well, let's pause here. Has that issue

THE COURT: Well, let's pause here. Has that issue been resolved?

MR. SHUMAKER: It has not, your Honor. There's been no final answer in that regard.

MR. HACKNEY: Respectfully, your Honor, I don't think that Mayor Duggan gets to -- he has his powers, but I'm not sure that he gets to effectively dictate to you when you have to have a trial by. And I also want to note that you see a number of warning signs blinking.

THE COURT: Well, I just want to say for the record that it would be a really bad idea for the city, the mayor, to terminate Jones Day's services at such a critical phase in this process. I don't exactly know where we will be on September 30th or in the few days before or after that, but whatever phase it is, it will be a critical phase because we'll either be in the process of negotiating and drafting a confirmation order or an order of dismissal and dealing with the consequences of that, or we'll be dealing with how to get